

Exhibit: SJW-5

Witnesses: Tang

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

| | | |
|--|---|---------------------------|
| In the Matter of the Application of SAN JOSE |) | |
| WATER COMPANY (U 168 W) for an Order |) | |
| authorizing it to increase rates charged for water |) | |
| service by \$51,585,000 or 13.35% in 2022, |) | Application No. 21-01-003 |
| by \$16,932,000 or 3.88% in 2023, and by |) | Filed January 4, 2021 |
| \$19,195,000 or 4.24% in 2024 |) | |
| _____ |) | |

**REBUTTAL OF SAN JOSE WATER COMPANY
TO THE PUBLIC ADVOCATES OFFICE
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS
ON NON-TARIFFED PRODUCTS & SERVICES
(FINAL VERSION)**

SAN JOSE WATER COMPANY
John Tang
Vice President of Regulatory Affairs
110 West Taylor Street
San Jose, California 95110
Telephone: 408.279.7933
Facsimile: 408.279.7934
E-mail: john.tang@sjwater.com

Lori Anne Dolqueist
Willis Hon
Nossaman LLP
50 California Street, 34th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-4707
Telephone: 415.438.7271
Facsimile: 415.398.2438
E-mail: ldolqueist@nossaman.com

Original Served: June 8, 2021

Final Version Served: November 12, 2021

Reformatted Final Version Served: December 8, 2021

**REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JOHN TANG REGARDING
NON-TARIFFED PRODUCTS & SERVICES**

Q1 Please state your name and business address.

A1 My name is John Tang and my business address is 110 W. Taylor Street, San Jose, CA
95110.

Q2 By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

A2 I am the Vice President of Regulatory Affairs and Government Relations at San Jose
Water Company.

Q3 Have you provided a description of your educational background and work experience?

A3 Yes, it was provided in the GRC application.

Q4 What is the purpose of your testimony?

A4 The purpose is to provide a rebuttal to certain portions of the Public Advocates Office
(Cal Advocates) recommendations regarding non-tariffed products and services
(NTP&S).

Q5 What is the specific proposal advanced by Cal Advocates?

A5 Cal Advocates recommended adjusting escalating year 2023 and 2024 by \$412,636 if the
City of Cupertino Lease was not renewed after test year. The Lease expires on
September 30, 2022. Following Cal Advocates' methodology, 2022 should be adjusted
by using 9 months worth of NTP&S activities for the City of Cupertino as shown below.

| | Cal Advocates Recommendation | SJWC Recommendation |
|------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Antenna Leases | 372,329 | 374,717 |
| SBWRP Backflow | - | - |
| Cupertino O&M | 412,636 | 316,431 |
| City of San Jose | 85,240 | 87,111 |
| City of San Jose | 216 | 221 |
| Meter Testing | 1,770 | 1,810 |
| HomeServe | 163,464 | 164,510 |
| Total | 1,035,655 | 944,800 |

1 Q6 Does this conclude your testimony?

2 A6 Yes, it does.